

LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF FOUR MORMYRID SPECIES OF ANAMBRA RIVER

¹NWANI, Christopher Didigwu; ²EZENWAJI, Henry Maduka Godfrey; ²EYO, Joseph Effiong and ³UDE Emmanuel Fame

¹Department of Applied Biology, Ebonyi State University P.M. B. 53, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria

²Fisheries and Hydrobiology Research Unit, Department of Zoology, University of Nigeria Nsukka

³Department of Animal Production and Fisheries Management, Ebonyi State University P.M.B. 53, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Nwani, C. D., Applied Biology Department, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki. Email: cdnwani@yahoo.com Phone 08037509910

ABSTRACT

The length-weight relationship and condition factor of four mormyrid species namely Mormyrus rume, Hyperopisus bebe, Campylomormyrus tamandua and Gnathonemus petersii from Anambra river were investigated from October 2002 to March 2004. In all, 400 species M. rume, 384 H. bebe, 417 C. tamandua and 335 G. petersii were sampled for the study. Length-weight relationship showed that the exponent 'b,' were 3.067, 2.459, 3.201 and 3.114 for M. rume, H. bebe, C. tamandua and G. petersii respectively. The mormyrid species studied with exception of H. bebe exhibited isometric growth and the correlation coefficients were positive and highly significant ($P < 0.05$). The condition factor (k) varied from 0.69 ± 0.22 in G. petersii to 1.17 ± 0.59 in M. rume. There were no significant difference in the mean condition factor between the males and females in all the mormyrid species. The importance of condition factor in the breeding activities of the mormyrid species revealed that not much energy is diverted into gonad synthesis and maturation during the breeding cycle season.

Keywords: Mormyrids, Length-weight relationship, Condition factor

INTRODUCTION

Mormyrid species are widespread in Afro-tropical river ecosystems (Lowe-McConnel, 1972). Commonly known as 'Elephant snout' or 'Elephant nose' fishes, mormyrids are well represented in Nigeria water with about thirty-one (31) different species belonging to eleven (11) genera (Olasoebikan and Raji, 1998). However, four species namely *Mormyrus rume*, *Hyperopisus bebe*, *Campylomormyrus tamandua* and *Gnathonemus petersii* inhabitants of Anambra river were studied in this report.

Mormyrid fishes are preferred by the inhabitants of Anambra area because they are readily available, tasty and relatively cheap. They account for a significant proportion of the total fish landing in most fresh fish landing sites in Nigeria (Reed *et al.*, 1967; Ita, 1978; Victor and Tetteh, 1988). Mormyrids are increasingly becoming important in the world aquarium business, aquaculture and neurological studies (Gosse, 1984). Works available on Mormyrids include those of King 1989, King 1996 a and b, Ikomi 1996 and Ezenwaji 2004. The present study is intended to add unto the existing information on the biology of Mormyrids with emphasis on the length-weight relationship and condition factor.

Description of Study Site: The Anambra River has its source from Ankpa highlands of Kogi State, Nigeria. It lies between latitude $6^{\circ}10'$ and $7^{\circ}20'$ and longitude $7^{\circ}40'$ East of river Niger. There is a rainy season (April – September / October) and a dry

season (October / November – March). From December to January / February, the basin is influenced by the harmattan, but its effect is not well marked (Ezenwaji 1989). The ranges of some key physico-chemical parameters of the water are: water temperature $21.19 - 28.40$ °C, transparency 0.4-1.4m, water level, 4.70-6.76m, air temperature 19.89 °C – 27.02 °C and pH 6.0 – 7.4. The mean rainfall data was 109.58 ± 104.5 mm with the highest record of 280 mm in July. There was no rainfall from December to March.

The vegetation in the basin is guinea Savanna but the lentic water bodies are often fringed with macrophytes like *Pterocaropus spp*, *Jussiaea spp*, *Eupatorium odoratum*, *Pennisetum spp*, *Cynodon spp* and in some areas *Raphia hookeri*. Relevant human activities in the area are fishing sand mining, bathing, domestic washing, trading (mainly on food items) and rice processing.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fish samples were collected monthly at Otuocho and Ogurugu water ports of Anambra river from October 2002 to March 2004 using gill, drag, drift and cast nets of mesh sizes between 70 to 120 mm. Baskets, traps and hook and line were also used. Fish collected were preserved in ice and transported to the laboratory for measurements. Each fish was weighed to the nearest 0.1 g, total and standard length were determined to the nearest 1 mm.

The length weight relationship of the fish was determined by the equation: $W = aL^b$, where W is weight in grams, L is standard length in centimeters, and a & b are regression constants. The logarithm transformed data gave the straight line relationship: $\log W = \log a + b \log L$.

The condition factor for each specimen was calculated using the method of Bagenal and Tecsh (1978) thus: $K = W/L^3 \times 100/1$; where K = condition factor, W = weight of fish in grams and L = length of fish in centimeters. The coefficient of variation (CV) was determined as: $CV = (S/\bar{x} \times 100/1) \%$ (King and Udo, 1996); where S = standard deviation and \bar{x} = population mean.

Data Analysis: The average value of "b" for each species was tested to verify whether it was significantly different from '3' using t – test at 0.05 significance difference. The sexual and seasonal variations in condition factor were also determined using t – test while the coefficient of variations (CV) were tested using F – test.

RESULTS

Length-weight Relationship: The length-weight relationship parameters of mormyrid species in Anambra River are presented in Table 1. The "b" values for male, female and combined sex for *M. rume* were 3.013, 3.114 and 3.076 respectively. For *C. tamandua*, the "b" values for males, females and combined sex were 3.014, 3.215 and 3.201 respectively. Similarly, the "b" value for *G. petersii* males, females and combined sex were 3.001, 3.235 and 3.114 respectively. *M. rume*, *C. tamandua* and *G. petersii* thus exhibited isometric growth pattern for both sexes. The situation was however different in *H. bebe* where the "b" values for males, females and combined sexes were 2.355, 2.062 and 2.459 respectively. *H. bebe* therefore exhibited allometric growth pattern. In all the mormyrid species studied, the 'b' values for the males were not significantly different from the females ($t = 2.04$ df_{34} , $p > 0.05$).

Condition Factor (K): The monthly variations in the condition factors for the four mormyrid species are presented in Table 2. The annual mean value of the condition factor for *M. rume* (combined sex) was 1.117 ± 0.59 . The mean condition factor for the male was 1.20 ± 0.09 while that of the female was 1.17 ± 0.08 . There was no significant difference in the annual average condition factor for the males and females *M. rume* ($t = 1.15$ df_{34} , $P > 0.05$).

The dry season value of 1.39 ± 0.56 for *M. rume* (combined sex) was significantly different from that of the wet season value of 0.81 ± 0.44 ($t = 3.23$ df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). However, the average condition of the male *M. rume* in the wet season (April – October) 0.93 ± 0.70 was not significantly different from the dry season (November – March) value of 1.38 ± 0.84 ($t = 2.06$, df_{16} $P > 0.05$). The interseasonal coefficient of variation (CV) in condition factor among the males for the dry (cv = 53.53%) and wet (cv = 49.68%)

seasons were not significantly different ($F = 1.86$, $P > 0.05$). However, the interseasonal variability in female condition factor for the dry (cv = 27.60%) and wet (cv=20.22%) seasons were not significantly different ($F = 3.01$, $P > 0.05$).

Female average condition factor for wet season (0.81 ± 0.46) significantly differed from that of the dry season value of 1.40 ± 0.63 ($t = 3.06$ df_{16} $P < 0.05$).

Considering *H. bebe* (combined sex), the mean condition factor was 0.81 ± 0.41 . There was no significant difference in the mean condition factor between the males (0.83 ± 0.61) and females (0.79 ± 0.11) during the period studied ($t = 0.86$ df_{34} $P > 0.05$). The dry season value of 0.98 ± 0.40 for the combined sex was significantly different from the wet season value of 0.55 ± 0.27 ($t = 3.83$ df_{16} , $P < 0.05$). Among the male *H. bebe*, the average condition factor for the dry season (1.01 ± 0.73) was not significantly different from the wet season value of 0.55 ± 0.54 ($t = 1.16$, df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). There was no significant difference in the interseasonal coefficient of variation in condition factor for the dry (cv = 51.89 %) and wet (cv = 53.75 %) seasons, ($F = 2.98$, $P > 0.05$). Among the female *H. bebe*, the mean condition for the dry season (0.95 ± 0.46) significantly exceeded the wet season value (0.35 ± 0.46) ($t = 3.44$ df_{16} , $P < 0.05$). The interseasonal coefficient of variation between the dry (cv = 22.2 %) and the wet (cv = 38.83 %) seasons were significantly different ($F = 5.16$, $P < 0.05$).

Among combined sex *C. tamandua*, the annual mean condition was 0.73 ± 0.29 . There was no significant difference in the average condition between the males (0.67 ± 0.14) and females (0.79 ± 0.29) ($t = 2.86$, df_{34} , $P > 0.05$). The mean condition factor for the combined sex in the dry season (0.88 ± 0.26) was significantly different from that of the wet season value of 0.49 ± 0.16 ($t = 5.15$, df_{16} , $P < 0.05$). Among the male *C. tamandua* the average condition factor for the dry season (0.82 ± 0.49) was statistically similar to the wet season values of 0.44 ± 0.40 ($t = 2.06$, df_{16} $P > 0.05$). Similarly the interseasonal Coefficient of variation for the dry season (cv = 29.28%) was statistically similar to the wet (cv = 35.09%) season value, ($F = 2.02$, $P > 0.05$).

Among the female *C. tamandua* the mean condition factor for the dry season (0.95 ± 0.51) was not significantly different from the wet season value of 0.5 ± 0.39 ($t = 2.16$ df_{16} $P > 0.05$). There was also no significant difference in interseasonal coefficient of variation between the dry (cv = 27.48%) and wet (cv = 28.27) seasons ($F = 1.54$, $P > 0.05$).

The annual mean condition factor for the combined sex of *G. petersii* was 0.69 ± 0.22 . The annual mean condition factor for the males (0.62 ± 0.09) was not significantly different from those of the females (0.77 ± 0.06), ($t = 1.02$ df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). The dry season mean value for the combined sex was 0.64 ± 0.21 and was not significantly different from the wet season value of 0.79 ± 0.22 ($t = 2.07$, df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). The male average condition factor for the dry season (0.55 ± 0.46) and wet season ($0.72 \pm$

Table 1: Length-weight relationship of Mormyrid species of Anambra river basin

Species	Sex	Number (n)	Length L (min)	Range (TL) L (max)	a	b	r	TL/SL
<i>Mormyrus rume</i>	F	183	19.60	73.40	0.0338	3.013	0.988	1.060
<i>Mormyrus rume</i>	M	217	16.20	60.00	0.0310	3.114	0.977	1.080
<i>Mormyrus rume</i>	M & F	400	17.60	70.34	0.0240	3.076	0.969	1.186
<i>Hyperopisus bebe</i>	F	173	12.40	46.10	0.0035	2.062	0.965	1.043
<i>Hyperopisus bebe</i>	M	211	11.30	41.20	0.0137	2.355	0.954	1.054
<i>Hyperopisus bebe</i>	M & F	384	11.04	40.10	0.0235	2.459	0.976	1.105
<i>Campylomormyrus tamandua</i>	F	182	8.70	42.70	0.0570	3.014	0.997	1.132
<i>Campylomormyrus tamandua</i>	M	235	7.70	42.40	0.0194	3.215	0.947	1.145
<i>Campylomormyrus tamandua</i>	M & F	417	7.50	43.07	0.0381	3.201	0.966	1.144
<i>Gnathonemus Petersii</i>	F	179	13.50	30.06	0.0275	3.001	0.980	1.123
<i>Gnathonemus Petersii</i>	M	156	11.90	27.00	0.0086	3.235	0.999	1.120
<i>Gnathonemus Petersii</i>	M & F	335	11.09	33.40	0.0176	3.114	0.049	1.125

*TL = Total length, SL = Standard length, a = regression intercept, b = slope and r correlation coefficient

Table 2: Monthly variations in the condition factor (cf = w. 100/L³) of Mormyrid species in Anambra river basin

Months	<i>Mormyrus rume</i>		<i>Hyperopisus bebe</i>		<i>Campylomormyrus tamandua</i>		<i>Gnathonemus petersii</i>	
	Number	Male	Number	Female	Number	Male	Number	Female
Oct. 2002	8	0.84 ± 0.06	12	0.86 ± 0.11	11	0.63 ± 0.04	11	0.61 ± 0.06
Nov	10	1.22 ± 0.08	9	1.11 ± 0.06	9	0.90 ± 0.19	10	0.70 ± 0.01
Dec	14	0.70 ± 0.03	11	0.64 ± 0.03	12	0.44 ± 0.83	12	0.64 ± 0.13
Jan	15	1.06 ± 0.04	10	1.93 ± 0.09	10	0.89 ± 0.71	9	1.20 ± 0.18
Feb. 2003	15	1.11 ± 0.05	12	1.70 ± 0.03	13	0.77 ± 0.42	10	0.96 ± 0.09
March	15	2.47 ± 0.06	9	1.55 ± 0.16	13	1.87 ± 0.88	10	0.87 ± 0.05
April	9	0.85 ± 0.08	10	0.91 ± 0.15	9	0.53 ± 0.77	8	0.66 ± 0.020
May	12	0.33 ± 0.11	11	0.45 ± 0.11	13	0.22 ± 0.81	8	0.26 ± 0.13
June	15	0.55 ± 0.13	9	0.84 ± 0.02	13	0.30 ± 0.96	8	0.38 ± 0.04
July	10	0.52 ± 0.17	7	0.93 ± 0.03	11	0.20 ± 0.87	6	0.57 ± 0.19
Aug.	11	1.13 ± 0.18	13	0.71 ± 0.07	9	0.76 ± 0.11	10	0.34 ± 0.13
Sept	11	1.13 ± 0.13	11	0.93 ± 0.14	11	1.01 ± 0.90	11	0.77 ± 0.19
Oct	14	1.84 ± 0.06	11	0.90 ± 0.19	11	0.83 ± 0.45	11	0.88 ± 0.07
Nov	12	0.70 ± 0.03	9	1.21 ± 0.01	10	0.61 ± 0.71	7	1.16 ± 0.18
Dec	9	0.91 ± 0.19	9	1.20 ± 0.08	8	0.83 ± 0.71	10	1.08 ± 0.09
Jan. 2004	12	1.95 ± 0.14	10	1.82 ± 0.04	19	0.99 ± 0.67	11	1.33 ± 0.04
Feb	15	1.52 ± 0.08	11	1.73 ± 0.03	16	1.03 ± 0.53	10	0.89 ± 0.01
March	10	2.68 ± 0.06	9	1.64 ± 0.06	13	2.17 ± 0.35	11	1.00 ± 0.31
Rainy season	90	0.93 ± 0.70	84	0.81 ± 0.40	88	0.55 ± 0.54	73	0.55 ± 0.46
Dry season	127	1.38 ± 0.84	99	1.40 ± 0.63	123	1.01 ± 0.73	100	0.95 ± 0.46
Annual mean	13	1.20 ± 0.09	11	1.17 ± 0.08	12	0.83 ± 0.61	10	0.79 ± 0.11
Oct. 2002	12	0.66 ± 0.03	8	0.72 ± 0.02	9	0.44 ± 0.06	10	1.00 ± 0.07
Nov	13	0.49 ± 0.15	11	0.52 ± 0.71	16	0.49 ± 0.71	11	0.84 ± 0.14
Dec	14	0.89 ± 0.11	9	0.99 ± 0.11	12	0.37 ± 0.04	12	0.79 ± 0.13
Jan. 2003	12	1.02 ± 0.03	9	1.14 ± 0.02	5	0.88 ± 0.02	9	1.01 ± 0.02
Feb	18	1.09 ± 0.22	10	1.11 ± 0.07	8	0.63 ± 0.02	8	0.81 ± 0.11
March	15	0.45 ± 0.51	8	0.52 ± 0.01	8	0.94 ± 0.24	12	0.61 ± 0.01
April	25	0.32 ± 0.26	20	0.42 ± 0.11	9	0.99 ± 0.53	7	0.01 ± 0.01
May	16	0.19 ± 0.01	13	0.33 ± 0.20	8	0.79 ± 0.01	12	0.89 ± 0.05
June	9	0.49 ± 0.03	6	0.66 ± 0.14	10	1.00 ± 0.06	7	1.03 ± 0.07
July	10	0.59 ± 0.02	7	0.61 ± 0.32	7	0.63 ± 0.02	9	0.88 ± 0.01
Aug	12	0.36 ± 0.08	10	0.51 ± 0.60	11	0.71 ± 0.07	14	0.98 ± 0.09
Sept	8	0.50 ± 0.06	9	0.47 ± 0.20	7	0.58 ± 0.08	6	0.69 ± 0.04
Oct	9	0.62 ± 0.13	10	0.80 ± 0.62	8	0.33 ± 0.01	13	0.57 ± 0.08
Nov	12	1.07 ± 0.02	13	1.14 ± 0.80	6	0.24 ± 0.01	10	0.46 ± 0.05
Dec	11	1.04 ± 0.42	9	1.11 ± 0.91	5	0.60 ± 0.09	10	0.64 ± 0.06
Jan. 2004	16	0.71 ± 0.1	10	1.20 ± 0.09	9	0.53 ± 0.01	8	0.57 ± 0.04
Feb	13	0.94 ± 0.08	11	1.22 ± 0.01	9	0.42 ± 0.03	10	0.53 ± 0.04
March	10	0.63 ± 0.18	9	0.80 ± 0.23	9	0.54 ± 0.20	11	0.63 ± 0.02
Rainy season	101	0.44 ± 0.40	83	0.54 ± 0.39	69	0.72 ± 0.49	78	0.86 ± 0.41
Dry season	134	0.82 ± 0.49	99	0.95 ± 0.51	87	0.55 ± 0.46	101	0.72 ± 0.41
Annual mean	14	0.67 ± 0.14	11	0.79 ± 0.29	9	0.62 ± 0.09	10	0.77 ± 0.06

Table 3: Seasonal variation in condition factor and coefficient of variation (CV) among four mormyrid species of Anambra river

Species	Condition factor			Coefficient of Variation		
	Dry season	Wet season	T-value	Dry season	Wet Season	T-value
<i>Mormyrus rume</i>						
M	1.38 ± 0.84 ^a	0.93 ± 0.70 ^a	2.06	53.53 ^a	49.68 ^a	1.86
F	1.40 ± 0.63 ^a	0.81 ± 0.46 ^b	3.06	27.60 ^a	20.22 ^a	3.01
M & F	1.39 ± 0.56 ^a	0.81 ± 0.44 ^b	3.23	29.56 ^a	28.74 ^a	2.02
<i>Hyperopisus bebe</i>						
M	1.01 ± 0.73 ^a	0.55 ± 0.54 ^a	1.16	51.89 ^a	53.75 ^a	2.98
F	0.95 ± 0.46 ^a	0.35 ± 0.46 ^b	3.44	22.20 ^a	38.83 ^b	5.16
M & F	0.98 ± 0.40 ^a	0.55 ± 0.27 ^b	3.83	30.40 ^a	31.40 ^a	3.34
<i>Campylomormyrus tamandua</i>						
M	0.82 ± 0.49 ^a	0.44 ± 0.40 ^a	2.06	29.28 ^a	35.09 ^a	2.02
F	0.95 ± 0.51 ^a	0.50 ± 0.39 ^a	2.16	27.48 ^a	28.27 ^a	1.54
M & F	0.88 ± 0.26 ^a	0.49 ± 0.16 ^b	5.15	26.60 ^a	25.80 ^a	2.02
<i>Gnathonemus petersii</i>						
M	0.55 ± 0.46 ^a	0.72 ± 0.49 ^a	2.60	38.19 ^a	35.12 ^a	2.01
F	0.72 ± 0.41 ^a	0.86 ± 0.41 ^a	2.04	24.41 ^a	18.99 ^a	3.11
M & F	0.64 ± 0.21 ^a	0.79 ± 0.22 ^a	2.07	27.60 ^a	26.40 ^a	2.47

a and *b* indicate significant corresponding means at $P = 0.05$

0.49) were not significantly different ($t = 2.60$, df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). There was no significant difference in interseasonal coefficient of variation between the dry ($cv = 38.19\%$) and the wet ($cv = 35.12\%$) seasons ($F = 2.01$, $P > 0.05$). Among the females, the average condition factor for the dry season (0.72 ± 0.41) and wet (0.86 ± 0.41) seasons were not significantly different ($t = 2.06$, df_{16} , $P > 0.05$). The interseasonal coefficient of variation for the dry ($cv = 24.41\%$) and wet ($cv = 18.99\%$) seasons were not significantly different ($F = 3.11$, $P > 0.05$).

Comparing the mean condition factor for the combined sexes of the mormyrid species, *M. rume* (1.17 ± 0.59) significantly differed from that of *H. bebe* (0.81 ± 0.41), ($t = 2.95$, df_{34} , $P < 0.05$), *C. tamandua* (0.73 ± 0.29) ($t = 3.97$, df_{34} , $P < 0.05$) and *G. petersii* (0.69 ± 0.22) ($t = 4.52$, df_{34} , $P < 0.05$). The value for *H. bebe* (0.81 ± 0.41) was not significantly different from that of *C. tamandua* (0.73 ± 0.29) ($t = 0.97$, df_{34} , $P > 0.05$). The annual mean condition factor for *C. tamandua* (0.73 ± 0.29) was not significantly different from that of *G. petersii* (0.69 ± 0.22) ($t = 0.62$, df_{34} , $P > 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Length-weight relationships of fishes are often used to study the indication of fatness, general well-being or gonad development. It is also assumed that heavier fish of a given length are in better condition. Venu and Kurup (2003) noted that for an ideal fish, which maintain dimensional equality, the isometric value of b would be 3. The estimates of b values ($2.062 - 3.235$, $x = 2.905 \pm 0.63$) obtained in this study fall within the limits reported by Largler *et al.* (1977), 2.998, King (1996a, 1996b) 3.012 & 2.912, Anibeze (2000) 2.153, Stergiou and Moutopoulos (2001) 2.989, Venu and Kurup (2003) 3.021 and Ezenwaji and Inyang (1998) 2.970. The mean value, 2.905 is approximately 3 thus indicating isometric growth pattern.

Information on condition factor (K) is relevant for ascertaining the fish optimum environmental requirements, feeding regime and stocking density (Tsadu and Adebisi, 1997). The result of the present study also indicated that among the mormyrid species studied, *M. rume* had the best condition (1.17 ± 0.59) with about 55.6% of the male and 50% of the female attaining a condition factor above 1.0 while *G. petersii* was in the worst condition (0.69 ± 0.22) with 94.4% of the males and 77.7% of the females attaining condition factor less than 1.0.

There was no significant difference between the mean condition factor of the males and females of all the mormyrid species. The non-significant difference noted between the mean condition factors of males and females in all the Mormyrid species probably indicates that not much energy was diverted by the females during breeding activities.

This result is similar to the report of Olatunde (1978) on the male and female Schilbeid species of lake Kainji Nigeria and Ikomi (1996) for *Brienomyrus longionalis* in the upper Warri River Nigeria but differed from Anibeze and Inyang (2000) report for male and female *Heterobranchus longifilis* from Idodo River, Nigeria, and Fawole and Adewoye (2002) report for male and female *Clarias gariepinus* in Oba reservoir, Ogbomosho Nigeria.

Except for *G. petersii*, there was seasonality difference in the condition factor among the combined sexes of the mormyrid species. This agrees with the report of Anibeze and Inyang (2000) on *H. longifilis* at Idodo River but contrasted the report of Ezenwaji and Offiah (2003) for *Pellonula leonensis* of Anambra river, Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- ANIBEZE, C. I. P. and INYANG, N. M. (2000). Oocyte structure, fecundity and sex ratio of *Heterobranchus longifilis* (Valenciennes 1940) in Idodo river basin (Nigeria) with comments on the breeding biology. *Journal of Aquatic Sciences*, 15: 59 – 61.

- BAGENAL, T. B and TESCH, F. W. (1978). Age and growth. Pages 101 – 136. *In*: BAGENAL, T. B. (Editor). *Methods of Assessment of Fish Production in Freshwater*. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford.
- EZENWAJI, H. M. G. (2004). Length – weight relationships of fishes from Anambra river, South-Eastern Nigeria. *Animal Research International*, 1(1): 1 – 6.
- EZENWAJI, H. M. G and INYANG N. M. (1998). Observation of the biology of *Clarias agboyiensis* Sydenham, 1980 (Osteichthyes: Clariidae) in the Anambra flood river system, Nigeria. *Fisheries Research*, 36: 47 – 60.
- EZENWAJI, H. M. G and OFFIAH, F. N. (2003). The biology of *Pellonuiia leaonensis* Boulenger, 1916. (Osteichthyes: Clariidae) in Anambra river Nigeria. *Bio-Research*, 1(2): 33 – 50.
- FAWOLE, O. O. and ADEWOYE, S. O. (2002). Aspects of the biology of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) in Oba reservoir, Ogbomosh, Nigeria. *The Zoologist*, 2(1): 144 – 156.
- GOSSE, J. P. (1984). Mormyridae. Pages 63 – 122. *In*: DAGET, J., GOSSE, J. P. and THYS VAN DEN AUDENAERDE, D. F. E. (Eds). *Checklist of freshwater fishes of Africa (CLOFFA)*. ORSTOM, Paris and MRAC Tervuren.
- IKOMI, R. B. (1996). Studies on the growth pattern, feeding habits and reproductive characteristics of the Mormyrid *Brienomyrus longianalis* (Bouleger, 1901) in the Upper Warri River, Nigeria. *Fisheries Research*, 6:187 – 198.
- ITA, E. O. (1978). An analysis of fish distribution in Kanji Lake, Nigeria. *Hydrobiologia*, 58: 233 – 244.
- KING, R. P. (1989). Distribution, abundance, size and feeding habits of *Brienomyrus brachyistius* (Gill 1862). Teleostei Mormyridae in a Nigerian rainforest stream. *Cybium*, 13(1): 25 – 36.
- KING, R. P. (1996a). Length – weight relationship of Nigeria freshwater fishes. *Naga ICLARM Quarterly*, 19(3): 49-52.
- KING, R. P. (1996b). Length-weight relationship of Nigerian coastal water fishes. *Naga ICLARM Quarterly*, 19(4): 53-58.
- LARGLER, K. F., BARDACK, J. E., MILLER, R. R. and PASSION, D. R. M. (1977). *Ichthyology* (2nd ed). John Willey and Sons, New York. 506 pp.
- LOWE-McCONNEL, R. H. (1972). *Freshwater fishes of the Volta and Kainji lakes*. University Press Accra, Ghana, 284 pp.
- OLAOSBIKAN, B. D. and RAJI, A. (1998). *Field guide to Nigerian fresh water fishes*. Federal College of Freshwater Fisheries Technology, New Bussa, 106 pp.
- OLATUNDE, A. A. (1978). The food and feeding habits of *Eutropius niloticus* (Ruppell), Family Schilbeidae (Osteichthyes: Siluriformes) in Kainji, Nigeria. *Hydrobiologia*, 57: 197 – 207.
- REED, W., BURCHARD, J., HOPSON, A. J., JENNES, J. and YARO, I. (1967). *Fish and Fisheries of Northern Nigeria*. Ministry of Agriculture, Northern Nigeria, 220 pp.
- STERGIOU, K. I. and MOUTOPOULOUS, D. K. (2001). A review of length-weight relationships of fishes from Greek marine waters. *Naga ICLARM Quarterly*, 24(1-2): 23 – 24.
- TSADU, S. M. and ADEBISI, A. A. (1997). Condition indices of pond raised and wild population of African Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) (Pisces - Clariidae) in Plateau and Niger States, Nigeria. *Journal of Aquatic Science*, 12: 1 – 7.
- VENU, T. J. and KURUP, B. M. (2003). Length-weight relationship of some deep sea fish inhabiting the continental slope beyond 250 depths along the West Coast of India. *Naga ICLARM Quarterly*, 26(2): 17 – 21.
- VICTOR, R. and TETTEH, J. O. (1988). Fish communities of a perturbed stream in southern Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 4: 49 – 59.